UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,751	02/09/2005	Alessandro Abbotto	NAG-10302/50	2838
Gifford Krass Groh Sprinkle Anderson & Citkowski P O Box 7021			EXAMINER	
			MABRY, JOHN	
Troy, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/523,751	ABBOTTO ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John Mabry, PhD	1625		
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_		
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Kevin S. MacKenzie, Esq</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>16 May 2008</u>	Time: <u>11:25 a.m.</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
(A_{i})	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/523,751

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Kevin MacKenzie discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Non-Final Rejection mailed on November 15, 2007. Attny MacKenzie informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 11/523,751, filed on February 9, 2005.